

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignua 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,347 12/20/2001		John N. Feder	D0066 NP	5519	
23914	7590	07/08/2003			
STEPHEN B			EXAMINER		
BRISTOL-MY PATENT DEI		BB COMPANY	NASHED, NASHAAT T		
P O BOX 400 PRINCETON		1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1652	
				DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	(C)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/029,347

Feder et al.

Examiner

Nashaat T. Nashed

Art Unit **1652**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the	cov	er sh	eet with	the correspondence address
	for Reply					
	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	то е	XPIR	E	one	_ MONTH(S) FROM
- Exte	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136 (a). In no date of this communication					
- If No - Failu - Any	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the part of the department of the set	and will he applic	expire ation t	SIX (6) o becoi	MONTHS f me ABAND	rom the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U S C § 133)
Statu						
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 20, 2</u>	2001				
2a) :	This action is FINAL . 2b) X. This act	tion is	non	-final		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa					
Dispo	sition of Claims					
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>					is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above, claim(s)					is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s)					is/are allowed.
6)	Claim(s)					is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s)			_		is/are objected to.
8) X	Claims <u>1-23</u>					
Appli	eation Papers					
9) [The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) [aco	cepte	d or b)	objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	drawin	g(s) l	be he	ld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11).	The proposed drawing correction filed on			is:	a) 🗌 a	approved b) approved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this	Offi	ce ac	tion.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority	und	er 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	All b) Some* c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	/e bee	n re	ceive	d.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	/e bee	n re	ceive	d in App	olication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure	eau (P	CT R	lule 1	7.2(a)).	
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	ie cert	ified	copi	es not r	eceived.
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	prior	ty u	nder	35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).
a)	The translation of the foreign language provisions					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	prior	ity u	nder	35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
	ment(s)					
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)				0-413) Paper No(s)
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)				ormal Pater	nt Application (PTO-152)
3)	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449; Paper Nots)	6)	Other			

Serial Number: 10/029,347

Art Unit: 1652

Claims 1-23 are pending.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I	Claims 1-4, 8, 9, 16-19, drawn to nucleic acid encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2, a host cell comprising said nucleic acid and a recombinant method to make a polypeptide, classified in Class 435, subclasses 183.
Group II	Claims 5, 6, 10 and 20, drawn to a polypeptide, classified in Class 435, subclass 183.
Group III	Claims 7 and 13, drawn to antibody raised against said polypeptide and method of detecting the polypeptide, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1.
Group IV	Claims 11 and 21-23, drawn to a method of preventing or treating medical condition using the polypeptide, classified in Class 424, subclass 94.1.
Group V	Claims 11 and 21-23, drawn to a method of preventing or treating medical condition using nucleic acid, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
Group VI	Claim 12, drawn to a method of diagnosing a pathological conditions, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
Group VII	Claims 14 and 15, drawn to a method of making nucleic acid by gene

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The nucleic acid of Group I, the polypeptide of Group II, and the antibody of Group III are independent chemical entities and require different searches in the patent and non-patent literature. Claims drawn to method of making proteins using the recombinant DNA would be placed with the DNA of Group I because, although they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, they do not constitute a burden to search them in addition to the DNA sequences.

shuffling, classified in Class 536, subclass 23.2.

Inventions of Groups I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together because the methods of Group IV does not utilize the nucleic acid of Group I.

Serial Number: 10/029,347

Art Unit: 1652

Inventions of Groups I and those of Groups V-VII are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acid of Group I can be utilized in other methods such as in a method to make the polypeptide of Group II.

Inventions of Groups II and IV are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polypeptide of Group II can be utilized in other methods such as in a method to make the antibodies of Group III.

Inventions of Groups II and the methods V-VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together because the methods of Groups V-VII do not utilize the polypeptide of Group II.

Inventions of Groups III and the methods IV-VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together because the methods of Groups IV-VII do not utilize the antibodies of Group III.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Stephen D'Amico on July 7, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Serial Number: 10/029,347

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nashaat T. Nashed, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-6586. The examiner can normally be reached Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 305-3014 and (703)308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Nashaat T. Nashed, Ph. D.

Primary Examiner